

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/604,219	07	7/01/2003	Walter J. Mamak	1218 EXAMINER	
75	590	09/22/2004			
Eric Wandel, P.E.				HO, TAN	
Electronics Res	•	nc.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Chandler, IN 47610				2821	
				DATE MAILED: 09/22/2004	DATE MAILED: 09/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/604,219 MAMAK ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit)
	Tan Ho	2821	A
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reply received by the office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comn D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	•		nerits is
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) 1,2,4,8-12,14 and 20-23 is/are rejected	ed.	•	
7) Claim(s) <u>3,5-7,13,15-19 and 24</u> is/are objected	i to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∋ 37 CFR 1.85(a).	t.
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-	-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Application	on No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Sta	age
application from the International Bureau	`		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.	
A 44 = a la ma a ma4/= }			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) Theories Summer	(DTO 442)	
2) Notice of References Cited (P10-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Linterview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	· ·	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-15	52)
Patent and Trademark Office			

Application/Control Number: 10/604,219

Art Unit: 2821

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1, 3, 7, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the first support beam" in line 11. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 3 is not complete.

Claim 7 recites the limitation "the first support beam" in lines 2 and 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 20 recites the limitation "the first support beam" in line 11. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

In claim 20, line 4, the antecedent basis of "the support beam" is not clear. Is it the first support beam in line 11?

Specification

In specification, paragraph [0017], line 8, "the tower structure 30" should be 'the tower structure 40".

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the limitations in claims 9-12 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Application/Control Number: 10/604,219

Art Unit: 2821

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 4, 8, 14, 20, 22, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Solberg, Jr. et al (US Patent 6,249,261).

Solberg, Jr. et al disclose, in figures 2 and 3, an antenna mounting comprising an antenna mast or tower 201, two support beams 203 mounted on the tower, and a plurality of antenna elements 202 mounted on the ends of the support beams.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Solberg, Jr. et al.

The patent to Solberg, Jr. et al, described above, differs from the claimed invention because it does not disclose the specific position of the antenna element mounted on the support beam. However the position of the antenna element mounted on the support beam is considered an obvious matter of design choice depending upon the desired characteristics of the antenna device.

Application/Control Number: 10/604,219

Art Unit: 2821

Claims 2 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Solberg, Jr. et al in view of Trooper (US Patent 5,291,211).

The patent to Solberg, Jr. et al, described above, differs from the claimed invention because it does not disclose the slotted array antennas. Trooper disclose, in figure 3, a plurality of antenna elements 24 mounted around a tower 20. The patent to Trooper also teaches that these antenna elements can comprise slotted antennas, see column 3, lines 4-29. Since one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized the benefits of reducing the weight of antenna elements, It would have been obvious to employ the antenna system of Solberg, Jr. et al with the slotted antenna as taught by Trooper.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3, 5-7,13,15-19, and 24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tan Ho whose telephone number is (571) 272-1822. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00AM - 5:00PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Don Wong can be reached on (571) 272-1834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/604,219 Page 5

Art Unit: 2821

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TAN HO
PRIMARY EXAMINER